

# ON $\Sigma$ - $q$ RINGS

S. K. JAIN, SURJEET SINGH, AND ASHISH K. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. Nakayama (Ann. of Math. 42, 1941) showed that over an artinian serial ring every module is a direct sum of uniserial modules. Hence artinian serial rings have the property that each right (left) ideal is a finite direct sum of quasi-injective right (left) ideals. A ring with the property that each right (left) ideal is a finite direct sum of quasi-injective right (left) ideals will be called a right (left)  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. For example, commutative self-injective rings are  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings. In this paper, various classes of such rings that include local, simple, prime, right non-singular right artinian, and right serial, are studied. Prime right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings are shown to be simple artinian. Right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings are upper triangular block matrix rings over rings which are either zero rings or division rings. In general,  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring is not left-right symmetric nor is it Morita invariant.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Artinian serial rings<sup>1</sup> introduced by Asano and Köthe is an important class of rings that appear at a number of places in the study of theory of rings and modules (see [5] and [18] for details). For example, these are rings of a finite representation type. Nakayama showed that every module over an artinian serial ring is a direct sum of uniserial modules [17]. Fuller proved that every indecomposable module over an artinian serial ring is quasi-injective [7]. Therefore, every right ideal in an artinian serial ring is a finite direct sum of quasi-injective right ideals. In this paper we study rings having the property that each right ideal is a finite direct sum of quasi-injective right ideals. Such rings will be called right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings. In particular, rings in which each right ideal is quasi-injective were studied by Beidar et al. [2], Byrd [3], Hill [9], Ivanov ([10], [11]) and Jain et al. [12] and are known as *right  $q$ -rings*. Jain et al. [12] proved that a ring is a right  $q$ -ring if and only if it is right self-injective and each essential right ideal is two-sided. In Beidar et al. [2], among others, non-local indecomposable right  $q$ -rings were shown to be either semisimple artinian or of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} D & V & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & D & V & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & D & V & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & D & V & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & D & V \\ V(\alpha) & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $V$  is one-dimensional both as a left  $D$ -space and a right  $D$ -space,  $V(\alpha)$  is also a one-dimensional left  $D$ -space as well as a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D50, 16D70, 16D80.

*Key words and phrases.* quasi-injective ideals,  $q$ -rings, self-injective rings, artinian serial rings.

<sup>1</sup>Generalized uniserial rings in the terminology of Nakayama

right  $D$ -space with right scalar multiplication twisted by an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $D$ . The purpose of this paper is to study right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings and to provide a description of indecomposable right non-singular right artinian right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings.

A right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring need not be a right  $q$ -ring. For example, a matrix ring over an artinian serial ring, that is not semisimple, is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring but not a right  $q$ -ring. We show that a simple right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring must be an artinian ring. Lemma 5 shows that if  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring then  $R$  is also a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. One of our main results is that a prime right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring is simple artinian (Theorem 7). As a consequence it follows that the ring of all linear transformations on a vector space  $V$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if  $V$  is finite dimensional. The notion of  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring is not left-right symmetric. We give an example of an incidence ring which is a left  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring but not a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring (Example 16). Also, we show that right (left)  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring is not Morita invariant. We conclude by giving the structure of a right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring as a triangular matrix ring of certain block matrices (Theorems 23-24).

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

All rings considered in this paper have unity and all modules are right unital unless stated otherwise. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called quasi-injective if  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  is right exact on all short exact sequences of the form  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ . Johnson and Wong [13] characterized quasi-injective modules as those that are fully invariant under endomorphisms of their injective hulls. A ring  $R$  is called von Neumann regular if every principal right (left) ideal of  $R$  is generated by an idempotent. A von Neumann regular ring is called abelian if all its idempotents are central. A ring  $R$  is called a right (left) duo ring if every right (left) ideal of  $R$  is two-sided. If a ring is both right duo and left duo then it is called a duo ring. It is known that a right self-injective right duo ring is a duo ring (see Remark 2.3, page 314, [2]). A ring  $R$  is called directly finite if  $xy = 1$  implies  $yx = 1$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . The index of a nilpotent element  $x$  in a ring  $R$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = 0$ . The index of a two-sided ideal  $J$  in  $R$  is the supremum of the indices of all nilpotent elements of  $J$ . If this supremum is finite, then  $J$  is said to have bounded index. A module is called uniserial if its submodules are linearly ordered with respect to inclusion. A ring  $R$  is called a right (left) serial ring if  $R_R$  ( ${}_R R$ ) is a direct sum of uniserial modules. If a ring is both left as well as right serial ring then it is called a serial ring. A ring  $R$  is called semiperfect if  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple artinian and idempotents modulo  $J(R)$  can be lifted. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called linearly compact in the discrete topology if any finite solvable system  $\{x \equiv x_a \pmod{I_a} : a \in A\}$  of congruences is solvable for any index set  $A$ , where  $x_a \in M$  and  $I_a$  is a submodule. A ring  $R$  is called right linearly compact ring if  $R_R$  is linearly compact. Any right linearly compact ring is semiperfect [19]. A right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called an essential right ideal if  $I \cap J \neq 0$  for every non-zero right ideal  $J$  of  $R$ . We will denote by  $\text{Soc}(M)$  and  $E(M)$ , respectively, the socle and injective hull of  $M$ . Two rings  $R$  and  $S$  are said to be Morita equivalent if there exists a category equivalence  $F : \text{mod-}R \rightarrow \text{mod-}S$ . A ring theoretic property  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be Morita invariant if, whenever  $R$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , so does every ring Morita equivalent to  $R$ . Let  $X$  be a finite partially ordered set and  $R$  any ring. The incidence ring of  $X$  with coefficients in  $R$ , denoted by  $I(X, R)$ , is the ring of

functions  $\{f : X \times X \rightarrow R \text{ such that } f(x, y) = 0 \text{ for each } x \not\leq y\}$ ; multiplication is given by  $(fg)(x, y) = \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} f(x, z)g(z, y)$ . The ring  $I(X, R)$  may also be viewed as the ring of square matrices with entries in  $R$ , whose rows and columns are indexed by  $X$ , where the  $(x, y)$  entry is 0 whenever  $x \not\leq y$ .

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

We will start with a basic lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring then each essential right ideal  $E$  of  $R$  is of the form  $E = e_1T_1 \oplus e_2T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus e_nT_n$  where  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are two-sided ideals of  $R$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal idempotents with  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$ , where each  $E_i$  is a quasi-injective right ideal. This gives  $R = \widehat{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{E}_n$ , where  $\widehat{E}_i$  denotes injective hull of  $E_i$ . Write each  $\widehat{E}_i$  as  $e_iR$  with  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . Since each  $E_i$  is quasi-injective, we have  $e_iR e_iE_i = E_i$ . This gives  $e_iRE_i = E_i$ . Denote each two-sided ideal  $RE_i$  as  $T_i$ . So, we have  $E = e_1T_1 \oplus e_2T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus e_nT_n$  where  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are two-sided ideals of  $R$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal idempotents with  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring with no nontrivial idempotents. Then  $R$  is a right  $q$ -ring and hence a duo ring.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring with no nontrivial idempotents then  $R$  is right self-injective and by Lemma 1, each essential right ideal of  $R$  is two-sided. Hence  $R$  is a right  $q$ -ring [12]. Since  $R$  has no nontrivial idempotents, it must be right duo ([12], Theorem 2.3). Now, since a right self-injective right duo ring must be a duo ring (see [2], page 314, Remark 2.3),  $R$  is a duo ring.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring. Then  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if  $R$  is a right  $q$ -ring if and only if  $R$  is a right self-injective duo ring.*

*Proof.* This follows from the above lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *If  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring and  $e$  is an idempotent in  $R$  such that  $ReR = R$  then  $eRe$  is also a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

*Proof.* We know that if  $ReR = R$ , then  $\text{mod-}R$  and  $\text{mod-}eRe$  are Morita equivalent under the functors given by  $\mathcal{F} : \text{mod-}R \rightarrow \text{mod-}eRe$ ,  $\mathcal{G} : \text{mod-}eRe \rightarrow \text{mod-}R$  such that for any  $M_R$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(M) = Me$  and for any module  $T$  over  $eRe$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(T) = T \otimes_{eRe} eR$ .

Suppose  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Let  $A$  be any right ideal of  $eRe$ . Then  $AeR \cong A \otimes_{eRe} eR$  and  $AeR$  is a right ideal of  $R$ . Therefore,  $AeR = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  where  $A_i$ 's are quasi-injective right ideals in  $R$ . By Morita equivalence we get that each  $A_i e$  is quasi-injective as an  $eRe$ -module. Then  $A = AeRe = A_1e \oplus \dots \oplus A_n e$  is a direct sum of quasi-injective right ideals. Hence  $eRe$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring, then  $R$  is also a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

*Proof.* We have  $R \cong e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)e_{11}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R) = \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ , where  $e_{11}$  is the usual matrix unit. Therefore, the result follows from the above proposition.  $\square$

Later, in Example 18, we will show that if  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring then  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  need not be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

## 4. PRIME RINGS, RIGHT SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS, AND REGULAR RINGS

First, we consider a prime right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

**Lemma 6.** *A prime right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring must be von Neumann regular.*

*Proof.* We prove  $Z(R_R) = 0$ . If possible, let  $x$  be a non-zero element in  $Z(R_R)$ . Then there exists an essential right ideal  $E$  of  $R$  such that  $xE = 0$ . Now, by Lemma 1,  $E = e_1T_1 \oplus e_2T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus e_nT_n$  where  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are two-sided ideals of  $R$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal idempotents with  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . Thus,  $xe_iT_i = 0$ . But since  $R$  is prime,  $xe_i = 0$ , for all  $i$ . Therefore,  $x = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $R$  is right non-singular and therefore,  $R$  must be von Neumann regular (see [15], page 362, Corollary 13.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *A prime right self-injective ring  $R$  is right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if  $R$  is artinian.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a prime right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. By Lemma 6,  $R$  is von Neumann regular. Since the two-sided ideals of  $R$  are well-ordered (see [8], Proposition 8.5),  $R$  has a unique maximal two-sided ideal, say  $A$ . We claim  $R/A$  is simple artinian. If each maximal right ideal of  $R/A$  is a summand then each right ideal of  $R/A$  is a summand and hence  $R/A$  is simple artinian. Else, let  $N/A$  be a maximal essential right ideal of  $R/A$ . Then  $N$  is an essential maximal right ideal of  $R$ . By Lemma 1,  $N = e_1T_1 \oplus e_2T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus e_nT_n$ , where  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are two-sided ideals of  $R$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal idempotents with  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . Since  $R/N$  is a simple module, we have  $\frac{R}{N} \cong \frac{e_iR}{e_iT_i}$ . Now, since  $\frac{e_iR}{e_iT_i}$  is a direct summand of  $\frac{R}{T_i}$ , it is projective as  $R/T_i$ -module. As  $R/N$  is an  $R/T_i$ -module and  $T_i \subset A \subset N$ , it follows that  $R/N$  is a simple, projective  $R/A$ -module. Therefore,  $R/A$  is a simple ring with non-zero socle and hence artinian. Thus,  $R$  has bounded index of nilpotence (see [8], page 79) and so  $R$  is artinian (see [8], Theorem 7.9).

The converse is obvious.  $\square$

We remark that if in the above theorem  $R$  is not a prime ring then it needs not be artinian. The following is an example of a non-prime von Neumann regular right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring which is not artinian.

**Example 8.** *Let  $S$  be an infinite boolean ring and suppose  $R = Q_{\max}^r(S)$ . Clearly  $R$  is commutative, von Neumann regular, self-injective and hence a  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. But,  $R$  is not artinian.*

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 7.

**Corollary 9.** *The ring of linear transformations,  $R = \text{End}_D(V)$  of a vector space  $V$  over a division ring  $D$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if the vector space  $V$  is finite-dimensional.*

Next, we have the following

**Corollary 10.** *A simple ring  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if  $R$  is artinian.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a simple right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Then  $R$  contains a quasi-injective right ideal, say  $A$ . As  $R$  is a simple ring,  $RA = R$ . So, we have  $R = \Sigma r_i A$  where  $r_i \in R$ . As  $1 \in \Sigma_{i=1}^k r_i A$ , we have  $R = \Sigma_{i=1}^k r_i A$ . Therefore,  $R \cong A^k/L \cong S$  where  $S$  is a direct summand of  $A^k$  and  $L$  is a submodule of  $A^k$ . Because  $A$  is quasi-injective,  $A^k$  is quasi-injective. Therefore,  $S_R$  and hence  $R_R$  is quasi-injective. Thus,  $R$  is right self-injective. Now, by Theorem 7,  $R$  is artinian.

The converse is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 11.** *The above proof shows, in particular, that a simple ring with a quasi-injective right ideal must be right self-injective. Dinh van Huynh has told us in a private communication that in his forthcoming joint paper with John Clark, they have obtained the same result.*

Next, we give an example of a simple right self-injective ring which is not a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

**Example 12.** *Let  $S$  be an integral domain which is not a right Ore domain. Consider  $R = Q_{\max}^r(S)$ . We know that  $R$  is a simple, right self-injective, von Neumann regular ring (see [15], page 377, Corollary (13.38)). If  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring then by Corollary 10,  $R$  is artinian and hence  $S$  is right Ore, which is not true. Therefore,  $R$  is not a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $R$  be a von Neumann regular ring. Suppose every ring homomorphic image of  $R$  is a right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Then  $R$  is semisimple artinian.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/P$  is a prime von Neumann regular right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. By Theorem 7,  $R/P$  is artinian. Since  $R$  is a von Neumann regular right self-injective ring and each primitive factor ring of  $R$  is artinian, we have  $R \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(S_i)$  where each  $S_i$  is an abelian regular right self-injective ring (see [8], Theorem 7.20). Thus, each  $S_i$  is a right self-injective duo ring.

Now, let  $C_i$  be any right ideal of  $S_i$ . Since  $S_i$  is a duo ring,  $C_i$  is a two-sided ideal and we have  $\frac{\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(S_i)}{\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(C_i)} \cong \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(S_i/C_i)$ . Since each  $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(S_i)$  satisfies the property that homomorphic images are right self-injective,  $\mathbb{M}_{n_i}(S_i/C_i)$  is right self-injective and hence  $S_i/C_i$  is right self-injective. So, each cyclic right  $S_i$ -module is quasi-injective. Hence by Koehler [14],  $S_i$  is a finite direct sum of rings each of which is semisimple artinian or a rank 0 duo right linearly compact ring. But, since  $S_i$  is a von Neumann regular ring, we conclude that it must be semisimple artinian. Hence  $R$  is semisimple artinian.  $\square$

Next, we show

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring and suppose every ring homomorphic image of  $R/J(R)$  is a right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring, then  $R$  is semiperfect.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 13,  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple artinian. Now, let the composition length of  $R/J(R)$  be  $n$ . Then  $R_R$  cannot be a direct sum of more than  $n$  submodules. Thus,  $R_R$  is a finite direct sum of indecomposable right ideals, each of which is quasi-injective. Let  $A = eR$  be any indecomposable summand of  $R_R$ . As  $A = eR$

is quasi-injective, its ring of endomorphisms  $eRe$  is a local ring (see [15], page 244, ex. 6.32). Hence by ([1], Theorem 27.6),  $R$  is semiperfect.  $\square$

Example 18 shows, among others, that a right self-injective semiperfect ring need not be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

For right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings, we ask the following question;

**Problem 15.** *Is every right self-injective right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring a directly finite ring?*

One can answer this in the affirmative for right  $q$ -rings, but we are unable to answer this, in general.

## 5. EXAMPLES

Clearly, each right  $q$ -ring is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. However, there are plenty of examples of right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  rings that are not right  $q$ -rings.

Recall from the introduction, that an artinian serial ring is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring but such rings need not be  $q$ -rings. Furthermore, a left  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring need not be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. We give an example of an incidence ring which is a left  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring but not a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

**Example 16.** *Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a partially ordered set with  $1 < 2 < 3$  and  $1 < 2 < 4$ . Let  $F$  be a field. The incidence ring is given as*

$$R = I(X, F) = \begin{bmatrix} F & F & F & F \\ 0 & F & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix}.$$

$R$  is a left artinian, left serial ring. Note that  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = Fe_{11} + Fe_{12} + Fe_{13} + Fe_{14}$ . It is a folklore that  ${}_R R$  is non-singular. But for completeness, we prove this result. Suppose  $Z({}_R R) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $z (\neq 0) \in \text{soc}(Z({}_R R))$ . Clearly,  $z = a_{11}e_{11} + a_{12}e_{12} + a_{13}e_{13} + a_{14}e_{14}$ . Then  $e_{11}z = z$ , which implies  $e_{11} \notin \text{l.ann}(z)$  and therefore,  $Fe_{11} \cap \text{l.ann}(z) = 0$ . Hence,  $\text{l.ann}(z)$  is not essential in  ${}_R R$ , a contradiction. So,  ${}_R R$  is non-singular.

$Re_{11}$  is simple, so quasi-injective. As  $Re_{11}$  is non-singular and quasi-injective,  $\text{End}(Re_{11}) \cong \text{End}(E(Re_{11}))$  (see [15], page 272, ex. 7.32). Hence any endomorphism of  $E = E(Re_{11})$  is given as multiplication by some element of  $F$ . Next, we show that  $Re_{22}$ ,  $Re_{33}$ , and  $Re_{44}$  are quasi-injective.

The ring  $R$  is left serial, so  $Re_{33}$  is uniserial and hence uniform. We have  $\text{Soc}(Re_{33}) = Fe_{13} \cong Fe_{11}$  as left  $R$ -module under the mapping  $ae_{13} \rightarrow ae_{11}$ . Hence  $Re_{33}$ , being an essential extension of  $Fe_{13}$ , embeds in  $E = E(Re_{11})$ .

So,  $\sigma(Re_{33}) \subseteq Re_{33}$ ,  $\forall \sigma \in \text{End}(E)$ . Therefore,  $Re_{33}$  is quasi-injective. Similarly,  $Re_{44}$  is quasi-injective. For every left ideal  $C \subseteq Re_{33}$  and  $\forall \sigma \in \text{End}(E)$ , we have  $\sigma(C) \subseteq C$  as  $Re_{33}$  is uniserial. Hence  $C$  is quasi-injective. Now,  $Re_{22} \cong Re_{23} \subseteq Re_{33}$ . Therefore,  $Re_{22}$  is quasi-injective. So,  ${}_R R$  is a direct sum of quasi-injectives.

Next, we show that any indecomposable left ideal is uniserial and quasi-injective. Suppose there exists a left ideal  $A \neq 0$  which is indecomposable and not uniform. Choose a left ideal  $A$  of smallest composition length. Let  $\pi_i : {}_R R \rightarrow Re_{ii}$  be

projection. If for some  $i$ ,  $\pi_i(A) = Re_{ii}$ , we get  $A = A' \oplus B'$  for some  $B'$ ,  $A' \cong Re_{ii}$ . This gives  $B' = 0$  and  $A \cong Re_{ii}$ , a contradiction as  $A$  is not uniform. Therefore,

$$\pi_i(A) \subseteq J(R)e_{ii} \text{ for all } i, \text{ which gives } A \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B_2 \oplus B_3 \oplus B_4.$$

Let  $\pi'_i : J(R) \rightarrow B_i$ . Suppose  $\pi'_2(A) \neq 0$ . Now,  $B_2$  is minimal and  $B_2 \cong Re_{11}$  which is projective. So,  $A \cong B_2$  which is uniform, a contradiction. Hence  $\pi'_2(A) =$

$$0. \text{ This gives that } A \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L.$$

$$\text{Clearly, } l.\text{ann}(L) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us denote  $l.\text{ann}(L)$  by  $U$ , then  $L$  is a left  $R/U$ -module.

$$R/U \cong \begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}, \text{ which is artinian serial.}$$

As  $A \subseteq L$ , we note that  $A$  is a module over  $\begin{bmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ . This implies that  $A$  is uniserial and hence uniform. Let  $B$  be any indecomposable left ideal in  $R$  then  $B$  is uniform. For some  $i$ ,  $\pi_i(\text{soc}(B)) \neq 0$  and so  $\pi_i$  is one-one on  $\text{soc}(B)$ . As  $B$  is uniform,  $\pi_i|_B : B \rightarrow Re_{ii}$  is one-one. We have  $B \cong \pi_i(B) \subseteq Re_{ii}$ . This gives that  $B$  is uniserial and quasi-injective. Now, let  $I$  be any left ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a finite direct sum of indecomposable left ideals. Since we have already proved above that any indecomposable left ideal is quasi-injective,  $I$  is a finite direct sum of quasi-injective left ideals. Thus,  $R$  is a left  $\Sigma$ -q ring.

Now, we will show that  $R$  is not a right  $\Sigma$ -q ring. We have  $e_{11}R = Fe_{11} + Fe_{12} + Fe_{13} + Fe_{14}$ . Note that  $Fe_{13}, Fe_{14}$  are minimal right ideals. Clearly,  $e_{11}R$  is not uniform and hence not quasi-injective. Therefore,  $R$  is not a right  $\Sigma$ -q ring.

We give below an example analogous to the above example that might be of interest to the reader.

**Example 17.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a partially ordered set with  $1 < 3 < 4$  and  $2 < 3 < 4$ . Let  $F$  be a field. Then the incidence ring is given as

$$R = I(X, F) = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & F & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & F & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix}.$$

By similar arguments as above  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ -q ring, but  $R$  is not a left  $\Sigma$ -q ring.

Let  $R$  be any right  $\Sigma$ -q ring and  $S = \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ . Now  $R \cong e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)e_{11}$  is a right  $\Sigma$ -q ring under the equivalence functor  $\mathcal{F} : \text{mod-}\mathbb{M}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{mod-}e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)e_{11}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(M) = Me_{11}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{F}(e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)) = e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)e_{11}$  gives that any

right ideal contained in  $e_{11}\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is a direct sum of finitely many quasi-injective modules. However, the ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  need not be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

The example which follows shows that matrix ring over a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring (in fact, even a  $q$ -ring) need not be a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Therefore, the notion of right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring is not a Morita invariant property.

**Example 18.** *Let  $F$  be any field. Consider the ring  $R = F[x, y]$  where  $x^2 = 0$  and  $y^2 = 0$ . Then  $R = F + Fx + Fy + Fxy$  is a commutative, local, artinian ring.*

*Here,  $J(R) = Fx + Fy + Fxy$ .*

*Let  $z = a + bx + cy + dxy \in \text{ann}(J)$ . This implies that  $(a + bx + cy + dxy)x = 0$ , which gives  $ax + cxy = 0$ , and so,  $a = 0$  and  $c = 0$ . Similarly,  $(a + bx + cy + dxy)y = 0$ , gives  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$ . So, we have  $z = dxy$ . Therefore,  $\text{soc}(R) = Fxy$ . Thus,  $R$  is a commutative local artinian ring with simple socle and hence a self-injective ring ([6], Page 217, Exercise 5). Since a commutative self-injective ring must be a  $q$ -ring,  $R$  is a  $q$ -ring.*

*Let  $K = \text{soc}(R)$ . Then  $R/K$  is of length 3 and  $J(R/K) = A \oplus B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are simple.*

*Let  $T = \mathbb{M}_2(R)$ . Then  $T = e_{11}T \oplus e_{22}T$ , where  $e_{11}T \cong e_{22}T$ .*

*Note  $e_{11}M_2(R/K)/e_{11}M_2(J(R/K))$  is simple. Therefore,  $e_{11}M_2(R/K)$  is local as  $M_2(R/K) (\cong \frac{M_2(R)}{M_2(K)})$ -module, and hence as  $T = M_2(R)$ -module. Observe that  $e_{11}M_2(R/K)$  has two minimal right ideals  $e_{11}A + e_{12}A$  and  $e_{11}B + e_{12}B$ . By suitably factoring, we then obtain a local  $T$ -module, say,  $N_T$  with  $\text{length}(\text{soc}(N)) = 2$ . If  $S_T$  is a simple module, then  $N$  is embeddable in  $E(N) \cong E(S) \oplus E(S) \cong T_T$ . Thus,  $N$  is a local, indecomposable, non-uniform module embeddable in  $T$  and so  $T$  contains a right ideal which is not quasi-injective. Therefore,  $T$  is not a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

## 6. RIGHT NON-SINGULAR RIGHT ARTINIAN RINGS, AND RIGHT SERIAL RINGS:

The lemma that follows is well-known.

**Lemma 19.** *(see [15], page 359, Theorem 13.1) Let  $R$  be right artinian and let  $eR$  be a right non-singular indecomposable quasi-injective right ideal, where  $e$  is an idempotent in  $R$ . Then  $eRe$  is a division ring.*

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Let  $e, f$  be any two indecomposable idempotents in  $R$  such that  $eRf \neq 0$ . Let  $D = eRe$  and  $D' = fRf$ .*

*(i) Then  $eRf$  is a one-dimensional left vector space over  $D$ .*

*(ii) For any  $0 \neq z \in eRf$ , there exists embedding  $\sigma : D' \rightarrow D$  such that for  $fbf \in D'$ ,  $zfbf = \sigma(fbf)z$ .*

*(iii) If  $R$  is also right serial, then  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* (i) Consider any two non-zero elements  $erf$  and  $esf$  in  $eRf$ . Define a map  $\phi : fR \rightarrow erfR$  where  $\phi(x) = erx$ , for any  $x \in fR$ . This is clearly a well-defined surjective right  $R$ -homomorphism. Thus, we have  $erfR \cong fR/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ . Note that  $fR$  is indecomposable quasi-injective and hence uniform. If  $\text{Ker}(\phi) \neq 0$  then as  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is essential in  $fR$ ,  $fR/\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is singular. But,  $erfR$  is non-singular, which gives a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = 0$  and hence  $erfR \cong fR$ . Similarly  $esfR \cong fR$ . Thus, we get an  $R$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : erfR \rightarrow esfR$  such that



$\sigma(erf) = esf$ . Since  $eR$  is quasi-injective, we extend  $\sigma$  to  $\eta : eR \rightarrow eR$ . Now  $\eta(e) = eue$ . Then  $\sigma(erf) = euerf$ . Therefore,  $esf = euerf$ . Hence  $eRf$  is one-dimensional left vector space over  $D$ .

(ii) Now  $eRf = Dz$ , for some  $z \in eRf$ . Therefore, given any  $fbf \in fRf$ ,  $zfbf = uz$ , for some  $u \in D$ . This defines a monomorphism  $\sigma : D \rightarrow D$  such that  $\sigma(fbf) = u$ . Also, we have  $\sigma(fbf)z = uz = zfbf$ .

(iii) Consider any two non-zero elements  $erf$  and  $esf$  in  $eRf$ . As  $eR$  is uniserial, we may suppose  $esfR \subseteq erfR$ . Then  $esf = erfuf$  for some  $fuf \in fRf$ . Since  $fRf$  is a division ring, it follows that  $esfRf = erfRf$ . Hence  $eRf$  is one-dimensional over  $D'$ . Therefore,  $eRf = Dz = zD'$ . From which it is immediate that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

(i) *If  $e, f$  are two indecomposable idempotents in  $R$  such that  $eRf \neq 0$ , then for any  $0 \neq z \in eRf$ ,  $eRez = zfRf$ .*

(ii) *If  $R$  is an indecomposable ring, then  $eRe \cong fRf$  for any two indecomposable idempotents  $e$  and  $f$ .*

*Proof.* (i) As  $eR$  is quasi-injective and indecomposable, it is uniform. So,  $Soc(eR)$  is simple. Let  $Soc(eR) \cong R/I$  where  $I$  is a maximal right ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is essential in  $R$ , then  $R/I$  is singular, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $I$  is a summand of  $R$ . Hence,  $Soc(eR) \cong gR$  for some indecomposable idempotent  $g$  in  $R$ . Let  $h : gR \rightarrow Soc(eR)$  be an isomorphism. Since  $h(g) \in eR$ , we have  $h(g) = ewg$  for some  $w = ewg$ . Hence  $Soc(eR) = ewgR$ . Let  $\mu : gR \rightarrow wgR$  be a non-zero  $R$ -homomorphism given by  $\mu(gr) = wgr$ . It is monic because  $gR$  is uniform. Then  $gR \cong wgR$ . Thus,  $Soc(eR) = eRgR$ . By Lemma 20,  $eRew = eRg$ . This yields,  $Soc(eR) = eRewR = eRgR$ . Consider any non-zero  $erg, esg \in eRg$ . As  $Soc(eR)$  is simple, we get  $eRgR = ergR = esgR$ , and so  $eRgRg = ergRg = esgRg$ , whence it is easy to verify that,  $eRg = eRew = wgRg$  for any non-zero  $w \in eRg$ . So the induced monomorphism  $\eta : gRg \rightarrow eRe$  given by  $\eta(grg)w = wgrg$  is an isomorphism.

Consider an embedding  $\lambda : fR \rightarrow eR$ , where  $\lambda(fr) = zfr$ . Then  $\lambda(Soc(fR)) = zfRgR$ . Let  $v \in fRg$  be such that  $zv \neq 0$ . Then  $w = zv \in eRg$ . Now,  $v$  and  $w$  induce isomorphisms  $\sigma_1 : gRg \rightarrow fRf$ , and  $\sigma_2 : gRg \rightarrow eRe$  respectively. Furthermore,  $\sigma : fRf \rightarrow eRe$  is a monomorphism induced by  $z$ . Because  $\sigma_2 = \sigma\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma$  is also an isomorphism.

(ii) Let  $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  be a basic orthogonal set of indecomposable idempotents in  $R$ . For any two distinct members  $e, f \in S$ , set  $e \leq f$  if  $eRf \neq 0$ , equivalently, if  $fR$  embeds in  $eR$ . This is a partial ordering on  $S$ . As  $R$  is indecomposable,  $S$  is connected. We may take  $e, f \in S$ . There exists a path  $e = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k = f$ . By definition, for any  $i < k$ ,  $e_iRe_{i+1} \neq 0$ . By (i),  $e_iRe_i \cong e_{i+1}Re_{i+1}$ . Hence,  $eRe \cong fRf$ .  $\square$

If we assume that  $R$  is right serial in addition to being right artinian and right non-singular, we have the following equivalence. First recall that by Warfield [20], such a ring is right hereditary.

**Theorem 22.** *Let  $R$  be a right artinian right non-singular right serial ring. Then the following are equivalent;*

(i)  *$R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.*

(ii) For any two indecomposable idempotents  $e, f \in R$ , if  $eRf \neq 0$  then  $eRf$  is one-dimensional left vector space over  $eRe$  and one-dimensional right vector space over  $fRf$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows by Proposition 21.

Conversely, suppose (ii) holds. Let  $e$  be any indecomposable idempotent in  $R$ . Let  $A$  be a non-zero right ideal contained in  $eR$ . As  $R$  is right non-singular right artinian and right serial,  $A$  is projective and indecomposable. Therefore,  $A \cong fR$  for some indecomposable idempotent  $f \in R$ . Let  $\sigma : A \rightarrow eR$  be a non-zero  $R$ -homomorphism. Then  $\sigma$  is a monomorphism and hence  $A \cong \sigma(A)$ . Because  $eR$  is uniserial, we have  $\sigma(A) \subseteq A$ . Since  $\text{Soc}(eR)$  is simple, there exists an indecomposable idempotent  $g \in R$  such that  $\text{Soc}(eR) = eugR$  for some  $u \in R$  and  $\sigma(eug) = eugvg$  for some  $v \in R$ . As  $eRg \neq 0$ , by (ii),  $eRg$  is one-dimensional over  $eRe$ . Therefore,  $eugvg = eweug$ , for some non-zero  $ewe$ . Let  $\eta$  be the endomorphism of  $eR$  given by left multiplication by  $ewe$ . If  $\lambda = \eta|_A$ , then  $\sigma - \lambda$  being zero on  $\text{Soc}(eR)$ , is zero. Hence  $\eta$  extends  $\sigma$ . So,  $eR$  is quasi-injective. This also proves that  $A$  is quasi-injective. In a right artinian right non-singular right serial ring, any right ideal is a finite direct sum of uniserial right ideals. As shown above any uniserial right ideal is quasi-injective. Hence  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.  $\square$

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $R$  be an indecomposable right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. Then*

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(e_1Re_1) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(e_1Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(e_1Re_k) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(e_2Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(e_2Re_k) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(e_3Re_3) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(e_3Re_k) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(e_kRe_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $e_iRe_i$  is a division ring,  $e_iRe_i \cong e_jRe_j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are any positive integers. Furthermore, if  $e_iRe_j \neq 0$ , then it is one-dimensional left vector space over  $e_iRe_i$  and one-dimensional right vector space over  $e_jRe_j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be an indecomposable right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring. There exists an independent family  $\mathcal{F} = \{e_iR : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of indecomposable right ideals such that  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_iR$ . After renumbering, we may write  $R = [e_1R] \oplus [e_2R] \oplus \dots \oplus [e_kR]$ , where for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $[e_iR]$  denotes the direct sum of those  $e_jR$  that are isomorphic to  $e_iR$ . Let  $[e_iR]$  be a direct sum of  $n_i$  copies of  $e_iR$ . Consider  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . We arrange in such a way that  $\text{length}(e_jR) \leq \text{length}(e_iR)$ . Suppose  $e_jRe_i \neq 0$ , then we have an embedding of  $e_iR$  into  $e_jR$ , hence  $\text{length}(e_iR) \leq \text{length}(e_jR)$ . But by assumption  $\text{length}(e_jR) \leq \text{length}(e_iR)$ , so  $\text{length}(e_iR) = \text{length}(e_jR)$ , we get  $e_jR \cong e_iR$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $e_jRe_i = 0$  for  $j > i$ .

Thus, we have

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(e_1Re_1) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(e_1Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(e_1Re_k) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(e_2Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(e_2Re_k) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(e_3Re_3) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(e_3Re_k) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(e_kRe_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

We have already seen earlier that each  $e_iRe_i$  is a division ring,  $e_iRe_i \cong e_jRe_j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  and if  $e_iRe_j \neq 0$  then it is a one-dimensional left vector space

over  $e_i Re_i$  as well as a one-dimensional right vector space over  $e_j Re_j$  (see Lemma 19 and Proposition 21).  $\square$

Let us consider the following condition:

(\*) : For  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  with  $i \neq j$  and primitive orthogonal idempotents  $e_i, e_j$ , either  $e_i Re_j \neq 0$  or  $e_j Re_i \neq 0$ . In other words, for a right non-singular ring either  $e_i R$  is embeddable in  $e_j R$  or  $e_j R$  is embeddable in  $e_i R$ .

We remark that (\*) holds if  $R$  is an indecomposable right non-singular serial ring.

Under the hypothesis (\*) we have the following

**Theorem 24.** *Let  $R$  be an indecomposable right artinian right non-singular ring with the condition (\*). Then  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring if and only if*

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(D) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(D) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(D) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D$  is a division ring and  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are any positive integers.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be an indecomposable right artinian right non-singular right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.

By the above theorem,

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(e_1 Re_1) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(e_1 Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(e_1 Re_k) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(e_2 Re_2) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(e_2 Re_k) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(e_3 Re_3) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(e_3 Re_k) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(e_k Re_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

where each  $e_i Re_i$  is a division ring and  $e_i Re_i \cong e_j Re_j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Furthermore, by condition (\*), we have  $e_i Re_j \neq 0$  for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . Therefore, each  $e_i Re_j$  is a one-dimensional left vector space over  $e_i Re_i$  as well as a one-dimensional right vector space over  $e_j Re_j$ . Let us denote division ring  $e_i Re_i$  by  $D$ . Then we have

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(D) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(D) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(D) \end{bmatrix}$$

Conversely, suppose that

$$R \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(D) & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_1 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_2}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_2 \times n_k}(D) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{M}_{n_3}(D) & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_3 \times n_k}(D) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(D) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D$  is a division ring and  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are positive integers.

Clearly,  $R$  is an indecomposable right non-singular ring. By Eisenbud-Griffith [4], we know that  $R$  is an artinian serial ring. Therefore,  $R$  is a right  $\Sigma$ - $q$  ring.  $\square$

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. W. Anderson, K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Second edition, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, and S. K. Jain, An Example of a Right  $q$ -ring, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 127 (2002), 303-316.
- [3] K. A. Byrd, Right self-injective rings whose essential ideals are two-sided, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 82 (1979), 23-41.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, P. Griffith, The Structure of Serial Rings, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 36, 1 (1971), 109-121.
- [5] A. Facchini, Module Theory: Endomorphism Rings and Direct Sum Decompositions in Some Classes of Modules, Birkhauser, 1998.
- [6] C. Faith, Algebra II, Ring Theory, Springer-verlag, Berlin-NewYork, 1976.
- [7] K. R. Fuller, On indecomposable injectives over Artinian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* 22, 1 (1969), 115-135.
- [8] K. R. Goodearl, von Neumann Regular Rings, Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida, 1991.
- [9] D. A. Hill, Semi-perfect  $q$ -rings, *Mathematische Annalen* 200 (1973), 113-121.
- [10] G. Ivavov, Non-local rings whose ideals are quasi-injective, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 6 (1972), 45-52.
- [11] G. Ivavov, Non-local rings whose ideals are quasi-injective: Addendum, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 12 (1975), 159-160.
- [12] S. K. Jain, S. H. Mohamed, Surjeet Singh, Rings in which each right ideal is quasi-injective, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 31 (1969), 73-79.
- [13] R. E. Johnson, E. T. Wong, Quasi-injective modules and irreducible rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961), 260-268.
- [14] A. Koehler, Rings with quasi-injective cyclic modules, *Quar. J. Math. Oxford* (2), 25 (1974), 51-55.
- [15] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, GTM, Vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [16] S. H. Mohamed,  $q$ -rings with chain conditions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1972), 455-460.
- [17] T. Nakayama, On Frobeniusean algebras II, *Ann. of Math.* 42 (1941), 1-21.
- [18] G. Puninski, *Serial Rings*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [19] F. L. Sandomierski, Linearly compact modules and local Morita duality, *Ring Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[20] R. B. Warfield, Jr., Serial rings and finitely presented modules, *Journal of Algebra* 37 (1975), 187-222.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO-45701, USA  
*E-mail address:* `jain@math.ohiou.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* `ossinghpal@yahoo.co.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. LOUIS UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS,  
MO-631003, USA  
*E-mail address:* `asrivas3@slu.edu`